arXiv:1208.2964v1 [hep-th] 14 Aug 2012

Conductivity of Strongly Coupled Striped Superconductor

Jimmy A. Hutasoit*

Department of Physics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506

George Siopsis† and Jason Therrien‡

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996-1200

(Dated: August 16, 2012)

Abstract

We study the conductivity of a strongly coupled striped superconductor using gauge/gravity duality (holography). The study is done analytically, in the large modulation regime. We show that the optical conductivity is inhomogeneous but isotropic at low temperatures. Near but below the critical temperature, we calculate the conductivity analytically at small frequency ω and find it to be both inhomogeneous and anisotropic. The anisotropy is imaginary and scales like $1/\omega$. We also calculate analytically the speed of the second sound and the thermodynamic susceptibility.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ex, 11.25.Tq, 74.20.-z

---

* jah77@psu.edu

† siopsis@tennessee.edu

‡ jtherrie@tennessee.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been a flurry of activities applying gauge/gravity duality to study strongly coupled condensed matter systems (for a review, see for example, Ref. 1). A large portion of these activities revolves around understanding the so-called high-T_c or high-temperature superconductors. The high-T_c superconductors, such as cuprates and iron pnictides, are interesting, because not only do they exhibit strong correlation, but they also exhibit the existence of competing orders that are related to the breaking of the lattice symmetries. At first, these orders seem to be unrelated to superconductivity, however, a study of the effect of inhomogeneity of the pairing interaction in a weakly coupled BCS system [2] as well as numerical studies of Hubbard models [3, 4] suggest that inhomogeneity might play a role in high-T_c superconductivity. Furthermore, the recent discovery of transport anomalies in $\mathrm{La}_{2-x}\mathrm{Ba}_x\mathrm{CuO}_4$, which are particularly prominent for $x = 1/8$ [5], might be explained under the assumption that this cuprate is a superconductor with a unidirectional charge density wave, i.e., a "striped" superconductor [6]. Other studies using mean-field theory have also shown that unlike the homogeneous superconductor, the striped superconductor exhibits the existence of a Fermi surface in the ordered phase [7, 8] and its complex sensitivity to quenched disorder [6].

In gauge/gravity duality, the strongly coupled condensed matter systems are mapped to a weakly coupled gravitational theory on a spacetime with negative cosmological constant (asymptotically anti de-Sitter (AdS) spacetimes). In particular, the study of high-T_c superconductors is mapped to a study of Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory of four-dimensional AdS black holes, and the superconducting phase transition is understood as the (unstable) black holes forming scalar 'hair.' To study the effect of inhomogeneity at strong coupling, Refs. [9–11] introduced a modulated chemical potential, which in the language of gauge/gravity duality is translated into a modulated boundary value for the electrostatic potential in the AdS Schwarzschild black hole. In particular, Ref. [10] analytically studied the critical temperature and the behavior of the order parameter (condensate) as a function of the modulation wave number.

In this article, we study the conductivity of the holographic striped superconductor by adding perturbations to the solution found in Ref. [10]. Our main goal is two-fold:

(*a*) To look for anisotropy and study its scaling behavior, i.e., dependence on the frequency.

As this is something that can be measured in experiment, studying the anisotropy of conductivity can lead us toward a more realistic model of high temperature superconductors using holographic techniques.

(b) To establish that indeed this model exhibits a superconducting transition.

Following Ref. [10], we focus on the large modulation regime for an analytical study. A more thorough analysis requires a combination of analytic and numerical techniques and will be taken up elsewhere. Our study is conducted at temperatures near the critical temperature $T \approx T_c$ and at low temperature $T \ll T_c$. In both regimes, we find that the dynamical conductivity does not only depend on the frequency and wavelength of the electric field applied to the strongly coupled system, but also has a spatial dependence. We also find that at $T \leq T_c$, at zero wavelength, the real part of the conductivity contains a term that is proportional to $\delta(\omega)$, where ω is the frequency of the applied electric field. This indeed shows that the system exhibits superconductivity below the critical temperature. Furthermore, at $T \lesssim T_c$, we find that the optical conductivity is anisotropic. At small frequency, the anisotropy is imaginary and scales like $1/\omega$. At high frequency, a numerical calculation is required. We shall report on the high frequency regime in the near future. At low temperature, we study the anisotropy at $\Delta = 1$, where our analytic technique is applicable, and find the conductivity to be isotropic. Lastly, at $T \approx T_c$, we also calculate the speed of the second sound and the thermodynamic susceptibility.

Our discussion is organized as follows. In Section II, we set up the system and fix our notation. In Section III, we obtain analytic results for the conductivity at low temperatures. In Section IV ,we calculate the conductivity analytically near the critical temperature. It should be noted that the analytical techniques used in the two temperature regimes discussed in Sections III and IV, respectively, differ from each other. Finally, Section V contains our concluding remarks.

II. SET-UP

For completeness, let us briefly review the set-up and the results of Ref. [10]. The minimal requirement to study strongly coupled superconductor using gauge/gravity duality is to have a scalar field and a $U(1)$ gauge field living in a spacetime with negative cosmological constant. The scalar field is dual to a superconducting order parameter, i.e., the condensate, while the $U(1)$ gauge field is dual to the current in the condensed matter system.

To study the strong coupling regime of the superconductor, we only need to study the gravity theory at the classical level. In particular, we are interested in finding solutions to the classical equations of motion whose boundary values are related to the parameters of the superconductor. Neglecting the backreaction of the scalar field and the gauge field onto the metric, the problem of studying a strongly coupled superconductor with an effective two spatial dimensions is reduced to studying the dynamics of a scalar field with mass m, coupled to a $U(1)$ gauge field in the background of a 3+1-dimensional AdS Schwarzschild black hole with the following metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2}\left(-h(z)\,dt^2 + \frac{dz^2}{r_+^2\,h(z)} + d\vec{x}^2\right), \tag{1}$$

with

$$h = 1 - z^3, \tag{2}$$

in units in which the AdS radius is unity. In this coordinate system, the boundary is at $z = 0$, while the horizon is at $z = 1$.

We introduce inhomogeneity by including a modulated chemical potential, or in other words, the charge density wave in the system is being sourced by a modulated chemical potential. The electrostatic potential is then given by

$$A_t(z,x) = \mu\,(1-\delta)\,A_t^{(0)}(z) + \mu\,\delta A_t^{(1)}\,\cos Qx. \tag{3}$$

We are particularly interested in the regime where the modulation wave number Q is a lot larger than the other scales in the system, *i.e.*, T_c and μ. In such regime, $A_t^{(1)}$ is exponentially suppressed in the bulk.

At $T \geq T_c$, the order parameter, and thus the scalar field, vanishes, but below T_c, we have non-vanishing scalar field

$$\Psi = \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}}\, z^\Delta \sum_{n\geq 0} F^{(n)}(z)\,\cos nQx, \tag{4}$$

with $F^{(0)}(z=0) = 1$, such that the order parameter is

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle = \sum_{n\geq 0} \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(n)}\,\cos nQx, \tag{5}$$

with

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(n)} = \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)} F^{(n)}(z=0). \tag{6}$$

Here, the gap $[\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle]^{1/\Delta}$ is measured in the unit of the temperature. Furthermore, $\Delta = \Delta_\pm = \frac{3}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + m^2}$ is the dimension of the superconducting order parameter $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$, with m being the mass of the scalar field dual to the order parameter. We shall examine the range

$$\frac{1}{2} < \Delta < \frac{3}{2}, \tag{7}$$

for $\Delta = \Delta_-$, corresponding to masses in the range $0 > m^2 \geq -\frac{9}{4}$. At large Q, the higher modes of the scalar order parameter is suppressed compared to the zero mode

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(n)}}{\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}} \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mu^{2[n/2]}}{Q^{2[n/2]}} \right), \tag{8}$$

where $[n]$ denotes the smallest integer $\geq n$. Furthermore, when the homogeneous part of the chemical potential vanishes , *i.e.*, $\delta = 1$, the odd modes vanish.

We can now consider adding the vector potential as a perturbation on top of this background. From the on-shell Maxwell action, we can then read the current-current retarded correlation which will then give us the conductivity.

III. LOW TEMPERATURE

A. Conductivity perpendicular to the direction of the stripes

First, let us study the conductivity σ_y, which is perpendicular to the direction of the stripes, at low temperature. To do so, we introduce a small perturbation

$$A_y = \int \frac{d\omega\, d^2k}{(2\pi)^3}\, a_y(z;\omega,k)\, e^{i(kx-\omega t)}. \tag{9}$$

The leading order of the equation of motion is then given by

$$\partial_z \left(h \partial_z a_y \right) + \frac{\omega^2}{h} a_y = \left(k^2 + \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)2} z^{2(\Delta-1)} F^{(0)2} \right) a_y, \tag{10}$$

with an incoming[1] boundary condition at the horizon. We can rewrite this in a Schrödinger form

$$-\partial_{z_*}^2 a_y + V a_y = \omega^2 a_y, \tag{11}$$

---

[1] "Incoming" here means that any perturbation introduced in the spacetime will fall into the horizon of the black hole. This boundary condition corresponds to the retarded correlators of the boundary theory.

where the "potential" is given by

$$V = V_0^{(0)} + k^2\, V_1, \tag{12}$$

with

$$V_0^{(0)} = \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)2}\, z^{2(\Delta-1)} h F^{(0)2}\ , \quad V_1 = h\ ,$$

and we have introduced the tortoise coordinate

$$z_* = \int_0^z \frac{dz}{h} = \frac{1}{6} \log \frac{(1-z^3)}{(1-z)^3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{1+2z}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\pi}{6} \right)\ . \tag{13}$$

Near the boundary, $z_* \approx z \to 0$, and the horizon is at $z_* \to \infty$.

We can solve the equation of motion by replacing the potential V with its average $\langle V \rangle$ in a self-consistent manner. The solution with the correct boundary condition is then given by

$$a_y \propto e^{i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \langle V_0^{(0)} \rangle - k^2\, \langle V_1 \rangle}\, z_*}. \tag{14}$$

Substituting the solution into the on-shell action, we obtain the retarded current-current correlator

$$\langle J_y(\omega, k)\, J_y(-\omega, -k) \rangle = i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \langle V_0^{(0)} \rangle - k^2\, \langle V_1 \rangle}, \tag{15}$$

from which, by using the Kubo formula, we obtain the conductivity,

$$\sigma_y(\omega, k) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\langle V_0^{(0)} \rangle + k^2 \langle V_1 \rangle}{\omega^2}}. \tag{16}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_0^{(0)} \rangle &= \frac{\int_0^\infty dz_*\, V_0^{(0)}\ |a_y|^2}{\int_0^\infty dz_*\ |a_y|^2} \\ &\approx \Gamma(2\Delta - 1)\, \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)2} \left(2i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \langle V_0^{(0)} \rangle - k^2 \langle V_1 \rangle} \right)^{2(1-\Delta)}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where the integrals are evaluated by giving ω an imaginary part, which we will set to zero at the end, and by approximating the integrals by evaluating the integrand near the boundary. We also have

$$\langle V_1 \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty dz_*\, V_1\ |a_y|^2}{\int_0^\infty dz_*\ |a_y|^2} \approx 1, \tag{18}$$

and thus

$$\langle V_0^{(0)} \rangle = \Gamma(2\Delta - 1)\, \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)2} \left(2i\sqrt{\omega^2 - \langle V_0^{(0)} \rangle - k^2} \right)^{2(1-\Delta)}. \tag{19}$$

The approximation we used to evaluate the integrals renders this approach insensitive to the details of the horizon in the bulk, which corresponds to the infrared regime in the boundary theory. Therefore, this approach is valid when the gap is a lot larger than the temperature, $[\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}]^{1/\Delta} \gg 1$ (in our notation, the gap is measured in the unit of temperature, see Section II).

1. $\Delta = 1$

Eq. 19 can be solved exactly for $\Delta = 1$ and we obtain

$$\sigma_y(\omega) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{k^2 + \langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)^2}}{\omega^2}}. \tag{20}$$

Now, let us include the first order correction. For $\delta \neq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z\Big[h\partial_z a_y(\omega,k)\Big] + \frac{\omega^2}{h}a_y(\omega,k) = &\left(k^2 + \langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)^2} z^{2(\Delta-1)} F^{(0)^2}\right) a_y(\omega,k)\\ &+\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)^2} z^{2(\Delta-1)} F^{(0)}F^{(1)}\left[a_y(\omega,k-Q)+a_y(\omega,k+Q)\right]\\ &+\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)^2} z^{2(\Delta-1)} F^{(0)}F^{(2)}\left[a_y(\omega,k-2Q)+a_y(\omega,k+2Q)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Since $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2)}$ have Q-dependence suppression, we can solve this perturbatively

$$a_y(z;\omega,k) = a_y^0(z;\omega,k) + a_y^1(z;\omega,k), \tag{22}$$

with boundary condition

$$a_y(z=0;\omega,k) = a_y^0(z=0;\omega,k). \tag{23}$$

For $\Delta = 1$ this yields at zeroth order

$$a_y^0(z;\omega,k) = a_y^0(z=0;\omega,k)\, e^{i\Omega_k z_*}\ , \quad \Omega_k \equiv \sqrt{\omega^2 - \langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)^2} - k^2}\,, \tag{24}$$

and at first order,

$$\begin{aligned}a_y^1(z;\omega,k) = &\frac{\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)^2}}{Q}\langle V_0^{(1)}\rangle \frac{a_y^0(z=0;\omega,k+Q)}{Q+2k}\left(e^{i\Omega_{k+Q}z_*} - e^{i\Omega_k z_*}\right)\\ &+\frac{\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)^2}}{4Q}\langle V_0^{(2)}\rangle \frac{a_y^0(z=0;\omega,k+2Q)}{Q+k}\left(e^{i\Omega_{k+2Q}z_*} - e^{i\Omega_k z_*}\right)\\ &+(Q\to -Q)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where

$$\langle V_0^{(i)} \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty dz_* \, F^{(i)} \, (1-z^3) \, |a_y|^2}{\int_0^\infty dz_* \, |a_y|^2} \approx \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(i)}}{\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(0)}} \qquad (i=1,2) \ , \tag{26}$$

and we have approximated the integral by evaluating the integrand near the boundary.

The on-shell action then becomes

$$I = \int \frac{d\omega \, dk \, dk'}{(2\pi)^3} \, a_y^0(z=0;\omega,k) i\mathcal{G}(\omega;k,k') a_y^0(z=0;-\omega,k') \ , \tag{27}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}(\omega;k,k') = \Omega_k \delta(k'+k) + \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(0)} \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(1)}}{Q} g^{(1)}(\omega;k,k') + \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(0)} \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(2)}}{4Q} g^{(2)}(\omega;k,k') \ , \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(1)} &= \frac{\Omega_{k-Q} - \Omega_k}{Q-2k} \delta(k'+k-Q) + \frac{\Omega_{k+Q} - \Omega_k}{Q+2k} \delta(k'+k+Q) \\ g^{(2)} &= \frac{\Omega_{k-2Q} - \Omega_k}{Q-k} \delta(k'+k-2Q) + \frac{\Omega_{k+2Q} - \Omega_k}{Q+k} \delta(k'+k+2Q) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

from which we deduce the retarded current-current correlation function

$$\langle J(-\omega,x) \, J(\omega,k) \rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk'}{2\pi} e^{-ik'x} \mathcal{G}(\omega;k,k') \ . \tag{30}$$

The dynamical conductivity is given by the "pre-averaging" Kubo formula (see, e.g., [12])

$$\sigma_y(\omega,k,x) = (2\pi)^2 \frac{e^{-ikx}}{i\omega} \langle J(-\omega,x) \, J(\omega,k) \rangle \ . \tag{31}$$

Explicitly,

$$\sigma_y(\omega,k,x) = \frac{1}{\omega} \left[\Omega_k + \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(0)} \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(1)}}{Q} \sigma_y^{(1)}(\omega,k,x) + \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(0)} \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(2)}}{4Q} \sigma_y^{(2)}(\omega,k,x) \right] \ , \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_y^{(1)}(\omega,k,x) &= \frac{\Omega_{k-Q} - \Omega_k}{Q-2k} e^{-iQx} + \frac{\Omega_{k+Q} - \Omega_k}{Q+2k} e^{iQx} \\ \sigma_y^{(1)}(\omega,k,x) &= \frac{\Omega_{k-2Q} - \Omega_k}{Q-k} e^{-2iQx} + \frac{\Omega_{k+2Q} - \Omega_k}{Q+k} e^{2iQx} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Here, we have used the "pre-averaging" Kubo formula because there is interesting *macroscopic* spatial dependence in the conductivity. Unlike atomic fluctuations, we do not want to get rid of this spatial dependence by averaging over x. For $\delta = 1$, the above expression simplifies further due to the fact $\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(1)}$ vanishes. Lastly, from the dynamical conductivity, we can obtain the optical conductivity by simply setting $k = 0$.

2. $\Delta \neq 1$

For $\Delta \neq 1$, we can solve Eq. (19) at the high-frequency regime $\omega \gtrsim Q, [\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle^{(0)}]^{1/\Delta}$, giving the optical conductivity

$$\sigma_y(\omega, k=0, x) \approx 1 + \frac{(-i\omega_0)^{2\Delta}}{2\omega^{2\Delta}} - \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)} \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(1)}}{\omega^2} \cos Qx - \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)} \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(2)}}{\omega^2} \cos 2Qx \ , \tag{34}$$

where

$$\omega_0 = \left(2^{2(1-\Delta)} \, \Gamma(2\Delta - 1) \, \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\Delta}} \ , \tag{35}$$

for $\delta \neq 1$. Again, this simplifies further for $\delta = 1$ as the odd modes of the order parameter vanish.

We can also solve Eq. 19 in the low frequency regime to get

$$\sigma_y(\omega, k=0, x) \approx i \frac{n_s}{\omega} \ , \tag{36}$$

where n_s is the superfluid density. Explicitly,

$$\frac{n_s}{\omega_0} = 1 + \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}}{2Q^2} \left[4 \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(1)} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{Q^2}{\omega_0^2}} - 1 \right) \cos Qx + \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(2)} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{4Q^2}{\omega_0^2}} - 1 \right) \cos 2Qx \right] \ , \tag{37}$$

for $\delta \neq 1$. As before, for $\delta = 1$, the odd modes of the order parameter vanish.

Using the Kramers-Kronig relations, we deduce the real part of the DC conductivity,

$$\Re \left[\sigma_y(\omega = 0, k = 0, x) \right] = \pi n_s \delta(\omega) \ . \tag{38}$$

We note that the superfluid density vanishes when the scalar order parameter vanishes (because $\omega_0 = 0$), which corresponds to the onset of superconductivity.

B. Conductivity along the direction of the stripes

As noted in Ref. [9], because the inhomogeneity is in the x-direction, applying an electric field in the x-direction sources other independent perturbations even at linear order, making the computation of σ_x complicated. The perturbations that need to be turned on are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_x &= \int \frac{d\omega\, d^2k}{(2\pi)^3}\, a_x(z;\omega,k,x)\, e^{i(kx-\omega t)}, \\
A_t \to A_t &+ \int \frac{d\omega\, d^2k}{(2\pi)^3}\, a_t(z;\omega,k,x)\, e^{i(kx-\omega t)}, \\
\Psi \to \Psi &+ \int \frac{d\omega\, d^2k}{(2\pi)^3}\, f_1(z;\omega,k,x)\, e^{i(kx-\omega t)}, \\
\chi &= \int \frac{d\omega\, d^2k}{(2\pi)^3}\, f_2(z;\omega,k,x)\, e^{i(kx-\omega t)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Here, adding the small perturbation in term of the bulk vector potential sources perturbations for the bulk electrostatic potential and for both the real and imaginary part of the bulk scalar field. We have also let the Fourier coefficients a_x, a_t, f_1 and f_2 to retain residual x-dependence due to the fact that the subleading solutions are related to the leading order solutions whose momenta differ by multiples of Q. This is exactly what we saw in the previous subsection (see Eq. 25) which ultimately leads to the spatial dependence of the conductivity.

The equations of motion are then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
z^2\partial_z\left(\frac{h}{z^2}\,\partial_z f_1\right) + \left[\frac{\omega^2}{h} + (ik+\partial_x)^2\right] f_1 + \left(\frac{A_t^2}{h} + \frac{\Delta(3-\Delta)}{z^2}\right) f_1 + \frac{2}{h}\,A_t\,(\Psi\, a_t + i\omega\, f_2) &= 0, \\
z^2\partial_z\left(\frac{h}{z^2}\,\partial_z f_2\right) + \left[\frac{\omega^2}{h} + (ik+\partial_x)^2\right] f_2 + \left(\frac{A_t^2}{h} + \frac{\Delta(3-\Delta)}{z^2}\right) f_2 & \\
-\frac{2i\omega}{h}\,A_t\, f_1 - \Psi\left[\frac{i\omega}{h}\,a_t + (ik+\partial_x)\,a_x\right] - 2\partial_x\Psi\, a_x &= 0, \\
z^2\left[h\,\partial_z^2 + (ik+\partial_x)^2\right]a_t - \Psi^2\, a_t - 2\Psi\, A_t\, f_1 - i\omega\,\Psi\, f_2 + i\omega z^2\,(ik+\partial_x)\,a_x &= 0, \\
f_2\,\partial_z\Psi - \Psi\,\partial_z f_2 + z^2\left[\partial_z\,(ik+\partial_x)\,a_x + \frac{i\omega}{h}\partial_z a_t\right] &= 0, \\
z^2\partial_z\,(h\,\partial_z a_x) + \frac{\omega^2 z^2}{h}\,a_x - \Psi^2\, a_x - \partial_x\Psi\, f_2 + \Psi\,(ik+\partial_x)\,f_2 - \frac{i\omega z^2}{h}\,(ik+\partial_x)\,a_t &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Even though it is difficult to proceed for a general value of Δ, we are able to make progress in the case of $\Delta = 1$. The system has a solution which is pure gauge, given by

$$a_x = ik, \qquad a_t = -i\omega, \qquad f_1 = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad f_2 = \Psi. \tag{41}$$

At large Q, we can neglect A_t and expand around $z = 0$ so that $h \approx 1$ and $F^{(0)} \approx 1$. We then have $f_1 = 0$ and as before, we can evaluate the solution up to the sub-leading $n = 1$

and $n=2$ modes

$$a_x = a_x^{(0)} + a_x^{(1)}\,e^{iQx} + \bar{a}_x^{(1)}\,e^{-iQx} + a_x^{(2)}\,e^{i2Qx} + \bar{a}_x^{(2)}\,e^{-i2Qx},$$
$$a_t = a_t^{(0)} + a_t^{(1)}\,e^{iQx} + \bar{a}_t^{(1)}\,e^{-iQx} + a_t^{(2)}\,e^{i2Qx} + \bar{a}_t^{(2)}\,e^{-i2Qx},$$
$$f_2 = z\left(f_2^{(0)} + f_2^{(1)}\,e^{iQx} + \bar{f}_2^{(1)}\,e^{-iQx} + f_2^{(2)}\,e^{i2Qx} + \bar{f}_2^{(2)}\,e^{-i2Qx}\right). \tag{42}$$

At zeroth order, we have the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} f_2^{(0)} &= 0,\\ i\omega a_t^{(0)} + ik a_x^{(0)} &= 0,\\ \partial_z^2 a_t^{(0)} - \left(k^2 + \langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)2}\right) a_t^{(0)} - \omega k\, a_x^{(0)} &= 0,\\ \partial_z\left(i\omega a_t^{(0)} + ik a_x^{(0)}\right) &= 0,\\ \partial_z^2 a_x^{(0)} + \left(\omega^2 - \langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)2}\right) a_x^{(0)} + \omega k\, a_t^{(0)} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

which is solved by

$$a_x^{(0)} = \frac{e^{i\Omega_k z}}{k} \qquad , \qquad a_t^{(0)} = -\frac{e^{i\Omega_k z}}{\omega}. \tag{44}$$

At next order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z^2 f_2^{(1)} + \left[\omega^2 - (k+Q)^2\right] f_2^{(1)} - i\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\left[\omega a_t^{(1)} + (k+Q)\,a_x^{(1)}\right] &= iQ\,\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)}\,a_x^{(0)},\\ -\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\,\partial_z f_2^{(1)} + i\,(k+Q)\,\partial_z a_x^{(1)} + i\omega\partial_z a_t^{(1)} &= 0,\\ \partial_z^2 a_t^{(1)} - \left[\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)2} + (k+Q)^2\right] a_t^{(1)} - \omega\left[i\,\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\,f_2^{(1)} + (k+Q)\,a_x^{(1)}\right] &= \langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)}\,a_t^{(0)}\\ \partial_z^2 a_x^{(1)} + \left[\omega^2 - \langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)2}\right] a_x^{(1)} + (k+Q)\left[i\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\,f_2^{(1)} + \omega a_t^{(1)}\right] &= \langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)}\,a_x^{(0)}, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

with boundary conditions $f_2^{(1)} = a_t^{(1)} = a_x^{(1)} = 0$ at $z=0$, while at the horizon, $f_2^{(1)}$ and $a_x^{(1)}$ have the form of an incoming wave, and $a_t^{(1)}$ vanishes. The second equation then becomes

$$-\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\;\, f_2^{(1)} + i\,(k+Q)\,a_x^{(1)} + i\omega a_t^{(1)} = 0\ . \tag{46}$$

Using this, we see that the remaining three equations decouple,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z^2 f_2^{(1)} + \Omega_{k+Q}^2 f_2^{(1)} &= \frac{iQ}{k}\,\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)}\,e^{i\Omega_k z},\\ \partial_z^2 a_t^{(1)} + \Omega_{k+Q}^2 a_t^{(1)} &= -\frac{\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)}}{\omega} e^{i\Omega_k z},\\ \partial_z^2 a_x^{(1)} + \Omega_{k+Q}^2 a_x^{(1)} &= \frac{\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)}}{k} e^{i\Omega_k z}. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

The solution that satisfies the correct boundary conditions is

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2^{(1)} &= \frac{i\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)}}{k(Q+2k)}\left(e^{i\Omega_{k+Q}z} - e^{i\Omega_k z}\right),\\
a_t^{(1)} &= -\frac{\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)}}{\omega\, Q(Q+2k)}\left(\frac{e^{i\Omega_k}\sin\Omega_{k+Q}z}{\sin\Omega_{k+Q}} + \frac{\sin\Omega_{k+Q}(1-z)}{\sin\Omega_{k+Q}} - e^{i\Omega_k z}\right),\\
a_x^{(1)} &= \frac{\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)}}{k\, Q(Q+2k)}\left(e^{i\Omega_{k+Q}z} - e^{i\Omega_k z}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The barred $n=1$ mode functions are obtained from the above expression by replacing $Q \to -Q$, while the $n=2$ mode functions and barred functions are obtained by replacing $\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)} \to \langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(2)}$ and $Q \to \pm 2Q$.

Lastly, we need to combine the above solution with the pure gauge solution to ensure that for the full solution, a_t vanishes at the horizon [13]. In particular, the leading order solution becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= 0,\\
f_2 &= \frac{i\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}}{\omega^2}\, e^{i\Omega_k},\\
a_t^{(0)} &= \frac{e^{i\Omega_k} - e^{i\Omega_k z}}{\omega},\\
a_x^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{k}\left(e^{i\Omega_k z} - \frac{k^2}{\omega^2}\, e^{i\Omega_k}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

The conductivity along the direction of the stripes is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_x(\omega,k,x) = \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - k^2\, e^{i\Omega_k}}\Bigg[\Omega_k &+ \frac{\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\,\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(1)}}{Q}\left(\frac{\Omega_{k-Q}-\Omega_k}{Q-2k}\, e^{-iQx} + \frac{\Omega_{k+Q}-\Omega_k}{Q+2k}\, e^{iQx}\right)\\
&+ \frac{\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(0)}\,\langle\mathcal{O}_1\rangle^{(2)}}{4Q}\left(\frac{\Omega_{k-2Q}-\Omega_k}{Q-k}\, e^{-2iQx} + \frac{\Omega_{k+2Q}-\Omega_k}{Q+k}\, e^{2iQx}\right)\Bigg].
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

We note that at low temperature, for $\Delta = 1$, the optical conductivity is isotropic.

IV. NEAR THE CRITICAL TEMPERATURE

As we have explained previously, the analytical techniques developed in the previous section are valid when the order parameter is a lot larger than the temperature. In order to study the system near the critical temperature, where the scalar order parameter is small, we need to be more careful in handling the boundary condition at the horizon. We can make progress in our analytical study by considering the long wavelength, small frequency regime $k, \omega \ll 1$.

A. Conductivity perpendicular to the direction of the stripes

For σ_y, for convenience, let us factorize the appropriate boundary behavior

$$A_y = \int \frac{d\omega\, d^2k}{(2\pi)^3}\, a_y(z;\omega,k)\,(1-z)^{-i\omega/3}\, e^{i(kx-\omega t)}, \tag{51}$$

so that a_y is regular at the horizon. Furthermore, we express the perturbation as a Taylor series in $\omega \sim k^2 \sim \xi^2 \ll 1$

$$a_y = a_{y0} + a_{y\omega}\,\omega + a_{yk}\,k^2 + a_{y\xi}\,\xi^2 + \dots \tag{52}$$

where $\xi \sim \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}$.

Moreover, each field we divide into the $n = 0$ mode and the $n = 1, 2$ modes that are suppressed at large Q (higher modes are even more suppressed). As before, we shall use a superscript to denote this hierarchy.

At leading order in Q, we have

$$(1-z^3)\,\partial_z^2 a_{y0}^0 + \frac{h'}{h}\partial_z a_{y0}^0 = 0, \tag{53}$$

whose only solution that is regular at the horizon is the constant

$$a_{y0}^0(z) = a_{y0}^0(z=0) = a_y^0(z=0). \tag{54}$$

At $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$, we then have

$$a_{y\omega}^0(z) = \frac{i}{3}\, a_y^0(z=0) \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{h(z')} \int_{z'}^1 \frac{1+z''-2(z'')^2}{1-z''} dz'', \tag{55}$$

while at $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$, we have

$$a_{yk}^0(z) = -a_y^0(z=0) \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{h(z')} \int_{z'}^1 dz''. \tag{56}$$

Finally, at $\mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$, we have

$$a_{y\xi}^0(z) = -a_y^0(z=0) \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{h(z')} \int_{z'}^1 dz'' z''^{2\Delta-2} \left[F^{(0)}(z'')\right]^2. \tag{57}$$

At sub-leading order in Q, all of the fields vanish except

$$\begin{aligned} a^1_{y\xi}(z;k) = &-\int_0^z \frac{dz'}{h(z')}\int_{z'}^1 dz'' z''^{2\Delta-2}F^{(0)}F^{(1)}\Big[a_y(z=0;k-Q)+a_y(z=0;k+Q)\Big] \\ &-\int_0^z \frac{dz'}{h(z')}\int_{z'}^1 dz'' z''^{2\Delta-2}F^{(0)}F^{(2)}\Big[a_y(z=0;k-2Q)+a_y(z=0;k+2Q)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

The dynamical conductivity perpendicular to the stripes is then given by

$$\sigma_y(\omega,k,x) = 1+\frac{ik^2}{\omega}+\frac{i\left[\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}\right]^2}{\omega}\int_0^1 dz\frac{F^{(0)}}{z^{2-2\Delta}}\left[F^{(0)}+2F^{(1)}\cos Qx+2F^{(2)}\cos 2Qx\right] \,, \tag{59}$$

from which we deduce the real part of the DC conductivity (38) with the superfluid density given by

$$n_{s,y} = \left[\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}\right]^2\int_0^1 dz\frac{F^{(0)}}{z^{2-2\Delta}}\left[F^{(0)}+2F^{(1)}\cos Qx+2F^{(2)}\cos 2Qx\right] \,. \tag{60}$$

B. Conductivity along the direction of the stripes

To obtain the conductivity along the stripes, σ_x, the perturbations that need to be turned on are

$$\begin{aligned} A_x &= \int\frac{d\omega\, d^2k}{(2\pi)^3\, k}\, a_x(z;\omega,k,x)\,(1-z)^{-i\omega/3}\,e^{i(kx-\omega t)}, \\ A_t &\to A_t + \int\frac{d\omega\, d^2k}{(2\pi)^3}\, a_t(z;\omega,k,x)\,(1-z)^{-i\omega/3}\,e^{i(kx-\omega t)}, \\ \Psi &\to \Psi + \int\frac{d\omega\, d^2k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\Psi^{(0)}(z)\, f_1(z;\omega,k,x)\,(1-z)^{-i\omega/3}\,e^{i(kx-\omega t)}, \\ \chi &= \int\frac{d\omega\, d^2k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\Psi^{(0)}(z)\, f_2(z;\omega,k,x)\,(1-z)^{-i\omega/3}\,e^{i(kx-\omega t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Again, we have conveniently taken out a factor which captures the behavior at the horizon, so that all functions should be regular at the horizon.

The equations of motion for the $n=0$ modes are

$$\begin{aligned} h\,\partial_z^2 f_1^{(0)} &+ \left[\frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2)-\frac{2+z^3}{z}+2h\frac{\partial_z\Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}}\right]\partial_z f_1^{(0)} \\ &+\left[\frac{i\omega(2+z)}{3z}+\frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z\Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}}-\frac{\omega^2(z+2)(4+z+z^2)}{9(1+z+z^2)}-k^2\right]f_1^{(0)} \\ &= -\frac{2}{h}A_t\left(a_t^{(0)}+i\omega f_2^{(0)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\,\partial_z^2 f_2^{(0)} &+ \left[\frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2) - \frac{2+z^3}{z} + 2h\frac{\partial_z \Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}}\right]\partial_z f_2^{(0)} \\
&+ \left[\frac{i\omega(2+z)}{3z} + \frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z\Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}} - \frac{\omega^2(z+2)(4+z+z^2)}{9(1+z+z^2)} - k^2\right] f_2^{(0)} \\
&= \frac{2i\omega}{h} A_t f_1^{(0)} + i\frac{\omega}{h} a_t^{(0)} + i a_x^{(0)},
\end{aligned} \tag{63}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\,\partial_z^2 a_t^{(0)} + \frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2)\partial_z a_t^{(0)} &- \left[k^2 + \frac{2\left(\Psi^{(0)}\right)^2}{z^2} - \frac{\omega(3i-\omega)(1+z+z^2)}{9(1-z)}\right] a_t^{(0)} \\
&= \frac{2\left(\Psi^{(0)}\right)^2}{z^2}\left[2A_t f_1^{(0)} + i\omega f_2^{(0)}\right] + \omega a_x^{(0)},
\end{aligned} \tag{64}
$$

$$
i\,\partial_z a_x^{(0)} - \frac{\omega}{3(1-z)} a_x^{(0)} + \frac{i\omega}{h}\,\partial_z a_t^{(0)} - \frac{\omega^2}{3(1-z)h} a_t^{(0)} = \frac{2\left(\Psi^{(0)}\right)^2}{z^2}\left[\partial_z f_2^{(0)} + \frac{i\omega}{3(1-z)} f_2^{(0)}\right], \tag{65}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\,\partial_z^2 a_x^{(0)} &+ \left[\frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2) - 3z^2\right]\partial_z a_x^{(0)} \\
&+ \left[\frac{i\omega}{3}(1+2z) + \omega^2\frac{8+6z+3z^2+z^3}{9(1+z+z^2)} - \frac{2\left(\Psi^{(0)}\right)^2}{z^2}\right] a_x^{(0)} \\
&= -\frac{\omega k^2}{h} a_t^{(0)} - 2\frac{ik^2}{z^2}\left(\Psi^{(0)}\right)^2 f_2^{(0)} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{66}
$$

Here, we have neglected the $n = 1$ mode of A_t, because it is exponentially suppressed at large Q. Thus,

$$
A_t \approx \mu(1-\delta)A_t^{(0)} \approx \mu(1-\delta)(1-z) \equiv \mu_\delta(1-z) \ . \tag{67}
$$

Since ω, k and Ψ are small, we can express the modes as Taylor series in ω, k^2 and ξ^2 as before,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1^{(0)} &= f_{10}^{(0)} + f_{1\omega}^{(0)}\omega + f_{1k}^{(0)}k^2 + f_{1\xi}^{(0)}\xi^2 + \ldots \\
f_2^{(1)} &= f_{20}^{(0)} + f_{2\omega}^{(0)}\omega + f_{2k}^{(0)}k^2 + f_{2\xi}^{(0)}\xi^2 + \ldots \\
a_t^{(1)} &= a_{t0}^{(0)} + a_{t\omega}^{(0)}\omega + a_{tk}^{(0)}k^2 + a_{t\xi}^{(0)}\xi^2 + \ldots \\
a_x^{(1)} &= a_{x0}^{(0)} + a_{x\omega}^{(0)}\omega + a_{xk}^{(0)}k^2 + a_{x\xi}^{(0)}\xi^2 + \ldots
\end{aligned} \tag{68}
$$

We shall solve the field equations starting from the horizon, so that all integration constants will be of zeroth order there. The boundary values will then be determined in terms of these horizon parameters.

At zeroth order, we obtain from Eqs. (64), (65) and (66),

$$\partial_z^2 a_{t0}^{(0)} = \partial_z a_{x0}^{(0)} = 0, \tag{69}$$

which is solved by

$$a_{t0}^{(0)}(z) = \alpha_0(1-z), \quad , \qquad a_{x0}^{(0)}(z) = \tilde{\alpha}_0 \ . \tag{70}$$

The two parameters are related by demanding regularity of $a_{x\omega}^{(0)}$ in Eq. (65)

$$\tilde{\alpha}_0 = -i\alpha_0, \tag{71}$$

and thus, we obtain

$$a_{x\omega}^{(0)}(z) = -\frac{\alpha_0}{3}\int_z^1 dz' \frac{2+z}{1+z+z^2}. \tag{72}$$

From Eq. (66), we also obtain

$$a_{xk}^{(0)}(z) = 0, \quad , \qquad a_{x\xi}^{(0)}(z) = i\alpha_0 \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{h(z')} \int_{z'}^1 dz'' z''^{2\Delta-2} \left[F^{(0)}(z'')\right]^2 . \tag{73}$$

From Eq. (65) at $\mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$, or Eq. (63) at zeroth order, we then deduce

$$f_{20}^{(0)}(z) = \beta_{20} - \alpha_0 \int_0^z dz' \frac{z'^{2-2\Delta}}{h(z')\left[F^{(0)}(z')\right]^2} \int_{z'}^1 dz'' \, \frac{\left[F^{(0)}(z'')\right]^2}{z''^{2-2\Delta}}, \tag{74}$$

where the integration constant β_{20} will be determined later.

Next, let us take another look at Eq. (64) to obtain

$$a_{t\omega}^{(0)}(z) = -\frac{i\alpha_0}{3}\int_z^1 dz' \int_{z'}^1 dz'' \frac{2+z''}{1+z''+z''^2} \quad , \qquad a_{tk}^{(0)}(z) = \alpha_0 \int_z^1 dz' \int_{z'}^1 \frac{dz''}{1+z''+z''^2}. \tag{75}$$

Now, we can solve Eq. (62) at zeroth order,

$$f_{10}^{(0)}(z) = \beta_{10} + 2\alpha_0 \int_0^z dz' \frac{z'^{2-2\Delta}}{h(z')\left[F^{(0)}(z')\right]^2} \int_{z'}^1 dz'' \frac{A_t(z'')}{1+z''+z''^2} \frac{\left[F^{(0)}(z'')\right]^2}{z''^{2-2\Delta}}, \tag{76}$$

where the integration constant β_{10} will also be determined shortly. We can then obtain

$$a_{t\xi}^{(0)}(z) = \int_z^1 dz' \int_{z'}^1 dz'' \frac{\left[F^{(0)}(z'')\right]^2}{z''^{2-2\Delta}} \frac{\alpha_0 + 2\mu_\delta f_{10}^{(0)}(z'')}{1+z''+z''^2} \tag{77}$$

from Eq. (64).

At $\mathcal{O}(\omega)$, Eqs. (62) and (63) yield

$$f_{i\omega}^{(0)}(z) = \beta_{1\omega} + \int_0^z dz' \frac{z'^{2-2\Delta}}{h(z')\left[F^{(0)}(z')\right]^2} \int_{z'}^1 \frac{dz''}{h(z'')} \frac{\mathcal{F}_i(z'')}{z''^{2-2\Delta}} \ , \tag{78}$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_1(z) &= 2A_t\left(a_{t\omega}^{(0)} + i f_{20}^{(0)}\right)\\ &\quad + \frac{i}{3}h\left[2(1+z+z^2)\partial_z f_{10}^{(0)} + \left(-\frac{2+z}{z} + 2(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z\Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}}\right) f_{10}^{(0)}\right],\\ \mathcal{F}_2(z) &= -i\left(a_{t0}^{(0)} + h a_{x\omega}^{(0)}\right) - 2iA_t f_{10}^{(0)}\\ &\quad + \frac{i}{3}h\left[2(1+z+z^2)\partial_z f_{20}^{(0)} + \left(-\frac{2+z}{z} + 2(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z\Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi}\right) f_{20}^{(0)}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

We are now ready to determine the integration constants. This is done by forbidding the bulk scalar field to generate source terms for the scalar order parameter of the boundary field theory. In other words, we are going to determine the integration constants by excluding the unwanted behavior $f_{1,2} \sim z^{3-2\Delta}$ at the boundary ($z = 0$). Here, we need to distinguish between two cases, $\Delta \neq 1$ and $\Delta = 1$.

For $\Delta \neq 1$, to isolate the unwanted behavior, we write

$$f_{10}^{(0)} = \hat{f}_{10} + \check{f}_{10}, \tag{80}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_{10} &= \beta_{10} - 2\alpha_0\int_0^z dz'\frac{z'^{2-2\Delta}}{h(z')\left[F^{(0)}(z')\right]^2}\int_0^{z'} dz''\frac{A_t(z'')}{1+z''+z''^2}\frac{\left[F^{(0)}(z'')\right]^2}{z''^{2-2\Delta}},\\ \check{f}_{10} &= 2\alpha_0\int_0^z dz'\frac{z'^{2-2\Delta}}{h(z')\left[F^{(0)}(z')\right]^2}\int_0^1 dz''\frac{A_t(z'')}{1+z''+z''^2}\frac{\left[F^{(0)}(z'')\right]^2}{z''^{2-2\Delta}}.\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

Hereupon, we use the notation ˆ and ˇ to describe the wanted and unwanted near boundary behavior, respectively, so that $\hat{g} \sim z^0$ while $\check{g} \sim z^{3-2\Delta}$.

Similarly, for f_{20}, we have

$$f_{20}^{(0)} = \hat{f}_{20} + \check{f}_{20}, \tag{82}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_{20} &= \beta_{20} + \alpha_0\int_0^z dz'\frac{z'^{2-2\Delta}}{h(z')\left[F^{(0)}(z')\right]^2}\int_0^{z'} dz''\,\frac{\left[F^{(0)}(z'')\right]^2}{z''^{2-2\Delta}},\\ \check{f}_{20} &= -\alpha_0\int_0^z dz'\frac{z'^{2-2\Delta}}{h(z')\left[F^{(0)}(z')\right]^2}\int_0^1 dz''\,\frac{\left[F^{(0)}(z'')\right]^2}{z''^{2-2\Delta}}.\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

Next, we split

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_i + \check{\mathcal{F}}_i\,, \tag{84}$$

for $i = 1, 2$, with

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{F}}_1 &= 2A_t \left(a^{(0)}_{t\omega} + i \hat{f}^{(0)}_{20} \right) \\
&\qquad + \frac{i}{3} h \left[2(1+z+z^2)\partial_z \hat{f}^{(0)}_{10} + \left(-\frac{2+z}{z} + 2(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z \Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}} \right) \hat{f}^{(0)}_{10} \right], \\
\check{\mathcal{F}}_1 &= 2iA_t \check{f}^{(0)}_{20} + \frac{i}{3} h \left[2(1+z+z^2)\partial_z \check{f}^{(0)}_{10} + \left(-\frac{2+z}{z} + 2(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z \Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}} \right) \check{f}^{(0)}_{10} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{F}}_2 &= -i \left(a^{(0)}_{t0} + h a^{(0)}_{x\omega} \right) - 2iA_t \hat{f}^{(0)}_{10} \\
&\qquad + \frac{i}{3} h \left[2(1+z+z^2)\partial_z \hat{f}^{(0)}_{20} + \left(-\frac{2+z}{z} + 2(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z \Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi} \right) \hat{f}^{(0)}_{20} \right], \\
\check{\mathcal{F}}_2 &= -2iA_t \check{f}^{(0)}_{10} + \frac{i}{3} h \left[2(1+z+z^2)\partial_z \check{f}^{(0)}_{20} + \left(-\frac{2+z}{z} + 2(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z \Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi} \right) \check{f}^{(0)}_{20} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

Therefore,

$$\check{f}_{i\omega}(z) = \int_0^z dz' \frac{z'^{2-2\Delta}}{h(z') \left[F^{(0)}(z')\right]^2} \int_{z'}^1 \frac{dz''}{h(z'')} \frac{\check{\mathcal{F}}_i(z'')}{z''^{2-2\Delta}} + \int_0^z dz' \frac{z'^{2-2\Delta}}{h(z') \left[F^{(0)}(z')\right]^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dz''}{h(z'')} \frac{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_i(z'')}{z''^{2-2\Delta}}. \tag{87}$$

At lowest order, we then obtain

$$\mathcal{A}\beta_{10} + \mathcal{B}\beta_{20} = \frac{2i\mathcal{C}\alpha_0}{\omega} \quad , \quad \mathcal{A}\beta_{20} - \mathcal{B}\beta_{10} = -\frac{i\mathcal{D}\alpha_0}{\omega}, \tag{88}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{3}\int_0^1 dz\, z^{2\Delta-2} \left(-\frac{2+z}{z} + 2(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z \Psi}{\Psi} \right), \quad \mathcal{B} = 2\mu_\delta \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{2\Delta-2}}{1+z+z^2}, \tag{89}$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{2\Delta-2} A_t}{1+z+z^2} \left[F^{(0)}\right]^2, \qquad \mathcal{D} = \int_0^1 dz\, z^{2\Delta-2} \left[F^{(0)}\right]^2. \tag{90}$$

Therefore,

$$\beta_{i0} = \frac{i\alpha_0}{\omega} x_i, \tag{91}$$

where

$$x_1 = \frac{2\mathcal{AC} + \mathcal{BD}}{\mathcal{A}^2 + \mathcal{B}^2} \quad , \quad x_2 = \frac{\mathcal{AD} - 2\mathcal{BC}}{\mathcal{A}^2 + \mathcal{B}^2}. \tag{92}$$

For $\Delta = 1$, β_{i0} $(i = 1, 2)$ are found by demanding the absence of a z^2 term in the near boundary asymptotics of Ψ and χ. This implies

$$\partial_z f_{1,2} + \frac{i\omega}{3} f_{1,2} = 0 \tag{93}$$

at $z=0$. We then have

$$\left(\mathcal{A}+\frac{1}{3}\right)\beta_{10}+\mathcal{B}\beta_{20}=\frac{2i\mathcal{C}\alpha_0}{\omega}\quad,\quad\left(\mathcal{A}+\frac{1}{3}\right)\beta_{20}-\mathcal{B}\beta_{10}=-\frac{i\mathcal{D}\alpha_0}{\omega},\tag{94}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are evaluated at $\Delta=1$. Therefore,

$$x_1=\frac{2\left(\mathcal{A}+\frac{1}{3}\right)\mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}}{\left(\mathcal{A}+\frac{1}{3}\right)^2+\mathcal{B}^2}\quad,\quad x_2=\frac{\left(\mathcal{A}+\frac{1}{3}\right)\mathcal{D}-2\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}{\left(\mathcal{A}+\frac{1}{3}\right)^2+\mathcal{B}^2}.\tag{95}$$

At a glance, it seems that there is a discontinuity at $\Delta=1$. However, this is not true. To see that we do in fact obtain the correct limit, let $\Delta=1+\epsilon$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}&=\frac{1}{3}\int_0^1 dz\,z^{2\epsilon}\left[-\frac{2+z}{z}+2(1+z+z^2)\left(\frac{1+\epsilon}{z}+\frac{\partial_z F^{(0)}}{F}\right)\right]\\&=\frac{1}{3}\int_0^1 dz\,z^{2\epsilon}\left[\frac{2\epsilon+z+2z^2}{z}+2(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z F}{F}\right]+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\\&=\frac{1}{3}\int_0^1 dz\,2\epsilon z^{2\epsilon-1}+\mathcal{A}\Big|_{\Delta=1}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\\&=\frac{1}{3}+\mathcal{A}\Big|_{\Delta=1}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\end{aligned}\tag{96}$$

showing that we recover the expression used in the $\Delta=1$ case as $\epsilon\to 0$.

Next, let us consider the $n=1$ modes. For convenience, rescale $f_1^{(1)}\to f_1^{(1)}/k$, $f_2^{(1)}\to f_2^{(1)}/k$ and $a_t^{(1)}\to a_t^{(1)}/k$. Then the equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned}&h\partial_z^2 f_1^{(1)}+\left[\frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2)-\frac{2+z^3}{z}+2h\frac{\partial_z\Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}}\right]\partial_z f_1^{(1)}\\&\quad+\left[\frac{i\omega(2+z)}{3z}+\frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z\Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}}-\frac{\omega^2(z+2)(4+z+z^2)}{9(1+z+z^2)}-(k+Q)^2\right]f_1^{(1)}\\&\qquad=-\frac{2}{h}A_t\left(a_t^{(1)}+\frac{k}{2}\frac{F^{(1)}}{F^{(0)}}a_t^{(0)}+i\omega f_2^{(1)}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{97}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&h\partial_z^2 f_2^{(1)}+\left[\frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2)-\frac{2+z^3}{z}+2h\frac{\partial_z\Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}}\right]\partial_z f_2^{(1)}\\&\quad+\left[\frac{i\omega(2+z)}{3z}+\frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2)\frac{\partial_z\Psi^{(0)}}{\Psi^{(0)}}-\frac{\omega^2(z+2)(4+z+z^2)}{9(1+z+z^2)}-(k+Q)^2\right]f_2^{(1)}\\&=\frac{2i\omega}{h}A_t f_1^{(1)}+i\left[\frac{\omega}{h}a_t^{(1)}+(k+Q)\,a_x^{(1)}\right]+\frac{k}{2}\frac{F^{(1)}}{F^{(0)}}\left[\frac{i\omega}{h}a_t^{(0)}+a_x^{(0)}\right]-iQ\frac{F^{(1)}}{F^{(0)}}a_x^{(0)},\end{aligned}\tag{98}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&h\partial_z^2 a_t^{(1)}+\frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2)\partial_z a_t^{(1)}-\left[(k+Q)^2+\frac{2\left(\Psi^{(0)}\right)^2}{z^2}-\frac{\omega(3i-\omega)(1+z+z^2)}{9(1-z)}\right]a_t^{(1)}\\&\qquad=\frac{4k\Psi^{(0)}\Psi^{(1)}}{z^2}\left[a_t^{(0)}+A_t f_1^{(0)}\right]+\frac{4\left(\Psi^{(0)}\right)^2A_t f_1^{(1)}}{z^2}\\&\qquad\quad+\frac{2i\omega\Psi^{(0)}}{z^2}\left[\Psi^{(0)}f_2^{(1)}+k\Psi^{(1)}f_2^{(0)}\right]+\omega\left(k+Q\right)a_x^{(1)},\end{aligned}\tag{99}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\,(k+Q)\,\partial_z a_x^{(1)} - \frac{\omega k}{3(1-z)} a_x^{(1)} + \frac{i\omega}{h}\partial_z a_t^{(1)} - \frac{\omega^2}{3(1-z)h} a_t^{(1)}& \\
= \frac{2\left(\Psi^{(0)}\right)^2}{z^2}\left[\partial_z f_2^{(1)} + \frac{i\omega}{3(1-z)} f_2^{(1)}\right]& \\
+\frac{2\Psi^{(0)}\Psi^{(1)}}{z^2}\left[\partial_z f_2^{(1)} + \frac{i\omega}{3(1-z)} f_2^{(1)}\right] - \frac{2k\Psi^{(1)}}{z^2} f_2^{(0)}\partial_z\Psi^{(0)},& \qquad (100)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\partial_z^2 a_x^{(1)} + \left[\frac{2i\omega}{3}(1+z+z^2) - 3z^2\right]\partial_z a_x^{(1)}& \\
+\left[\frac{i\omega}{3}(1+2z) + \omega^2\frac{8+6z+3z^2+z^3}{9(1+z+z^2)} - \frac{2\left(\Psi^{(0)}\right)^2}{z^2}\right] a_x^{(1)}& \\
= -\frac{\omega(k+Q)}{h} a_t^{(1)} - \frac{2i(k+Q)}{z^2}\left(\Psi^{(0)}\right)^2 f_2^{(1)}& \\
+\frac{2ik(Q-k)}{z^2}\Psi^{(0)}\Psi^{(1)} f_2^{(0)} + \frac{4\Psi^{(0)}\Psi^{(1)}}{z^2} a_x^{(0)}.& \qquad (101)
\end{aligned}
$$

As with the $n=0$ modes, we expand the $n=1$ modes as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1^{(1)} &= f_{10}^{(1)} + f_{1\omega}^{(1)}\omega + f_{1k}^{(1)}k^2 + f_{1\xi}^{(1)}\xi^2 + \ldots \\
f_2^{(1)} &= f_{20}^{(1)} + f_{2\omega}^{(1)}\omega + f_{2k}^{(1)}k^2 + f_{2\xi}^{(1)}\xi^2 + \ldots \\
a_t^{(1)} &= a_{t0}^{(1)} + a_{t\omega}^{(1)}\omega + a_{tk}^{(1)}k^2 + a_{t\xi}^{(1)}\xi^2 + \ldots \\
a_x^{(1)} &= a_{x0}^{(1)} + a_{x\omega}^{(1)}\omega + a_{xk}^{(1)}k^2 + a_{x\xi}^{(1)}\xi^2 + \ldots \qquad (102)
\end{aligned}
$$

in the small ω, k and ξ regime. Then Eq. (99), along with the boundary conditions $a_{t0}^{(1)}(z=0)=0$ and $a_{t0}^{(1)}(z=1)=0$, give us $a_{t0}^{(1)}(z)=0$. Similarly, $a_{t\omega}^{(1)}(z=0)=a_{tk}^{(1)}(z=0)=0$. Eq. (100), along with the boundary condition $a_{x0}^{(1)}(z=0)=0$, and demanding regularity at the horizon, gives us $a_{x0}^{(1)}(z)=0$. Similarly, $a_{x\omega}^{(1)}(z=0)=a_{xk}^{(1)}(z=0)=0$.

In order to solve Eq. (98) at leading order, we do a coordinate transformation $\tilde z = Qz$, and keep the leading terms in Q to obtain

$$
\tilde z^{2-2\Delta}\,\partial_{\tilde z}\left(\tilde z^{2\Delta-2}\,\partial_{\tilde z} f_{20}^{(1)}\right) - f_{20}^{(1)} = -\frac{\alpha_0}{Q}\frac{\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(1)}}{\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}}. \qquad (103)
$$

The solutions to the homogeneous part of this differential equation can be expressed as linear combinations of Bessel functions

$$
\tilde z^{\frac{3-2\Delta}{2}}\,J_{\frac{2\Delta-3}{2}}(-i\tilde z) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tilde z^{\frac{3-2\Delta}{2}}\,Y_{\frac{2\Delta-3}{2}}(-i\tilde z). \qquad (104)
$$

However, the latter will give rise to a source term at the boundary and thus, the solution that satisfies all necessary boundary conditions in the original radial coordinate is given by

$$f_{20}^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_0}{Q} \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(1)}}{\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}} \mathcal{J}_\Delta(-iQz) \ , \tag{105}$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_\Delta(u) \equiv 1 + \Gamma\left(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^{\frac{3-2\Delta}{2}} J_{\frac{2\Delta-3}{2}}(u) \ . \tag{106}$$

From Eq. (101), we then obtain

$$a_{x\xi}^{(1)} = i\alpha_0 \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{h(z')} \int_{z'}^1 dz'' \, z''^{2\Delta-2} \left(F^{(0)}\right)^2 \left[\frac{F^{(1)}}{F^{(0)}} + 2\,\frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(1)}}{\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}} \mathcal{J}_\Delta(-iQz)\right] \ . \tag{107}$$

At zeroth order, Eq. (97), along with the boundary conditions, and demanding regularity at the horizon, yields $f_{10}^{(1)} = 0$. Substituting this into Eq. (99) at $\mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$, we get $a_{t\xi}^{(1)} = 0$.

Moving on to the other modes, the $n = -1$ modes are similar, and the $n = \pm 2$ modes are obtained by substituting $Q \to 2Q$, $\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(1)} \to \langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(2)}$ and $F^{(1)} \to F^{(2)}$ into the results for $n = 1$ modes.

The boundary values of the perturbations of the gauge fields are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_t(0) &\approx \alpha_0 + a_{t\omega}^{(0)}(0)\omega + a_{tk}^{(0)}(0)k^2 + a_{t\xi}^{(0)}(0)\xi^2 \approx \alpha_0 \left[1 + \frac{2iC}{\omega}\left(\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}\right)^2\right], \\ a_x(0) &\approx \tilde{\alpha}_0 + a_{x\omega}^{(0)}(0)\omega + a_{x\xi}^{(0)}(0)\xi^2 \approx -i\alpha_0, \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

where

$$C = \mu_\delta \, x_1 \int_0^1 dz \int_z^1 \frac{dz'}{h} \frac{\left[F^{(0)}(z')\right]^2}{z'^{2-2\Delta}}. \tag{109}$$

Clearly, this is not a general solution, because the boundary values of a_t and a_x are not independent of each other. To correct this, we need to mix in an arbitrary amount of pure gauge solution

$$a_t(1-z)^{-i\omega/3} = -i\omega\gamma_0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad a_x(1-z)^{-i\omega/3} = ik^2\gamma_0. \tag{110}$$

We then have

$$a_t(0) = \alpha_0 \left[1 + \frac{2iC}{\omega}\left(\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}\right)^2\right] - i\omega\gamma_0 \ , \quad a_x(0) = -i\alpha_0 + ik^2\gamma_0. \tag{111}$$

Solving for the arbitrary parameters, we obtain

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{k^2 a_t(0) + \omega a_x(0)}{k^2\left[1 + \frac{2iC}{\omega}\left(\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}\right)^2\right] - i\omega} \ , \quad \gamma_0 = \frac{a_t(0) - i\left[1 + \frac{2iC}{\omega}\left(\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}\right)^2\right] a_x(0)}{k^2\left[1 + \frac{2iC}{\omega}\left(\langle \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rangle^{(0)}\right)^2\right] - i\omega}. \tag{112}$$

We can now read the retarded Green functions from the on-shell action. The (charge) density-density retarded correlation function is given by

$$\langle\rho\,\rho\rangle(\omega,k,x)=\frac{k^2}{k^2\left(\omega+2iC\left[\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}\right]^2\right)-i\omega^2}\left[\omega+2i\,\mu_\delta\,x_1\left[\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}\right]^2\int_0^1\frac{dz}{1+z+z^2}\frac{\left[F^{(0)}\right]^2}{z^{2-2\Delta}}\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{\pi}{3\sqrt{3}}\,\omega k^2-\frac{i(\pi+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{3}\log 3)}{6\sqrt{3}}\,\omega^2+\frac{2C}{3}\,\omega\left[\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}\right]^2\right],\quad(113)$$

with poles at

$$\omega=-\frac{ik^2}{2}\left[1\pm\sqrt{1-4C\frac{\left[\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}\right]^2}{k^2}}\right].\quad(114)$$

When $\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}\ll k$, we get a diffusion pole

$$\omega=-ik^2,\quad(115)$$

showing that the behavior of the system is closer to a normal fluid then a superfluid, while when $\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}\gg k$, the behavior is closer to that of a superfluid and we get poles at

$$\omega=\pm\sqrt{C}\,\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}\,k,\quad(116)$$

which is identified as the second sound with the speed of the second sound given by

$$v=\sqrt{C}\,\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}.\quad(117)$$

We can also obtain the thermodynamic susceptibility,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu^2 P&=\lim_{k\to 0}\langle\rho\,\rho\rangle(0,k,x)\\&=\frac{\mu_\delta\,x_1}{C}\int_0^1\frac{dz}{1+z+z^2}\frac{\left[F^{(0)}\right]^2}{z^{2-2\Delta}},\end{aligned}\quad(118)$$

which is positive definite.

Next, we can read the current-current retarded correlation function from the on-shell action, and then take the limit $k\to 0$ to get the optical conductivity in the direction of the stripes,

$$\sigma_x(\omega,k=0,x)=1+i\frac{n_{s,x}}{\omega}+\dots\ ,\quad(119)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{s,x} = {} & \left[\langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)}\right]^2 \int_0^1 dz \frac{F^{(0)}}{z^{2-2\Delta}} \left[F^{(0)} + 2F^{(1)} \cos Qx + 2F^{(2)} \cos 2Qx\right] \\
& + 4\, \langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)} \langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(1)} \int_0^1 dz \frac{\left[F^{(0)}\right]^2}{z^{2-2\Delta}} \mathcal{J}_\Delta(-iQz) \cos Qx \\
& + 4\, \langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)} \langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(2)} \int_0^1 dz \frac{\left[F^{(0)}\right]^2}{z^{2-2\Delta}} \mathcal{J}_\Delta(-2iQz) \cos 2Qx \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

It is easy to check that n_s is real. It is related to the DC conductivity via (38).

Comparing with the conductivity perpendicular to the stripes (60) , we see that the optical conductivity is anisotropic,

$$\sigma_x(\omega, x) - \sigma_y(\omega, x) = i \frac{n_{s,x} - n_{s,y}}{\omega} + \dots \tag{121}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{s,x} - n_{s,y} = {} & 4\, \langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)} \langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(1)} \int_0^1 dz \frac{\left[F^{(0)}\right]^2}{z^{2-2\Delta}} \mathcal{J}_\Delta(-iQz) \cos Qx \\
& + 4\, \langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(0)} \langle\mathcal{O}_\Delta\rangle^{(2)} \int_0^1 dz \frac{\left[F^{(0)}\right]^2}{z^{2-2\Delta}} \mathcal{J}_\Delta(-2iQz) \cos 2Qx \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

V. CONCLUSION

In this article, we have calculated the conductivity of a strongly coupled striped superconductor at large modulation regime, both in the directions perpendicular and parallel to the stripes. In the dual gravity picture, this is done by adding perturbations in term of vector potential on top the solution of Einstein-Maxwell-scalar found in Ref. [10].

We found that below the critical temperature, the imaginary part of the optical conductivity has a pole at $\omega = 0$, which implies that the real part of the conductivity contains a term that is proportional to $\delta(\omega)$, thus establishing that this model indeed exhibits superconductivity below the critical temperature.

At $T \lesssim T_c$, we found that the conductivity is anisotropic and it exhibits $1/\omega$ behavior at small frequency. At low temperature $T \ll T_c$, we were only able to calculate σ_x analytically for $\Delta = 1$, and in this case we found that the optical conductivity is isotropic. As the anisotropy at low temperature has been measured [14], our results seem to rule out $\Delta = 1$. It will be interesting to study this model at low temperature for different values of Δ numerically and compare it to the $1/\omega^{1.6}$ behavior observed experimentally at large frequency.

It will also be interesting to study the anisotropy at $T > T_c$ by introducing fermion into this holographic set-up and see whether there is something akin to the "dimensional crossover" as studied in [15].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J. H. is supported by West Virginia University start-up funds. The work of G.S. and J.T. is supported in part by the Department of Energy under grant DE-FG05-91ER40627. We also would like to thank Stefanos Papanikolaou for discussions.

---

[1] S. Sachdev, "What can gauge-gravity duality teach us about condensed matter physics?", `1108.1197`.

[2] I. Martin, D. Podolsky, and S. A. Kivelson, "Enhancement of superconductivity by local inhomogeneity", *Phys. Rev. B* **72** (2005) 060502, `cond-mat/0501659`.

[3] C. S. Hellberg and E. Manousakis, "Phase separation at all interaction strengths in the t-j model", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 4609, `cond-mat/9611195`.

[4] S. R. White and D. Scalapino, "Dmrg study of the striped phase in the 2d t-j model", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 1272, `cond-mat/9705128`.

[5] Q. Li, M. Hucker, G. D. Gu, A. M. Tsvelik, and J. M. Tranquada, "Two-dimensional superconducting fluctuations in stripe-ordered $\mathrm{la}_{1.875}\mathrm{ba}_{0.125}\mathrm{cuo}_4$", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007) 067001.

[6] E. Berg, E. Fradkin, S. A. Kivelson, and J. Tranquada, "Striped superconductors: How the cuprates intertwine spin, charge and superconducting orders", *New J. Phys.* **11** (2009) 115004, `0901.4826`.

[7] S. Baruch and D. Orgad, "Spectral signatures of modulated d-wave superconducting phases", *Phys. Rev. B* **77** (2008) 174502, `0801.2436`.

[8] L. Radzihovsky and A. Vishwanath, "Quantum liquid crystals in imbalanced fermi gas: fluctuations and fractional vortices in larkin-ovchinnikov states", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009)

010404, `0812.3945v2`.

[9] R. Flauger, E. Pajer, and S. Papanikolaou, “A striped holographic superconductor”, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 064009, `1010.1775`.

[10] J. A. Hutasoit, S. Ganguli, G. Siopsis, and J. Therrien, “Strongly coupled striped superconductor with large modulation”, `1110.4632`.

[11] S. Ganguli, J. A. Hutasoit, and G. Siopsis, “Enhancement of critical temperature of a striped holographic superconductor”, `1205.3107`.

[12] G. D. Mahan, “Many-particle physics”, Springer, 3rd. ed., 2000.

[13] C. P. Herzog, “An analytic holographic superconductor”, `1003.3278v3`.

[14] Y. S. Lee, K. Segawa, Y. Ando, and D. N. Basov, “Coherence and superconductivity in coupled one-dimensional chains: A case study of yba$_2$cu$_3$o$_y$”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005) 137004.

[15] T. Giamarchi, “Theoretical framework for quasi-one dimensional systems”, *Chemical Reviews* **104** (2004) 5037, `http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/cr030647c`.